

Mr. Kwiek made the motion for Appeals Case #1357 for Noman & MaryBeth Haberl of 225 Gaylord Court, Elma, NY who are requesting a variance to install a shed with less than the required side yard setback §144-98 C-4, Residential B, with the corners and setback not being no less than 7 feet that the variance be approved based on the following criteria:

- 1) An undesirable change would not be known.
- 2) The benefit could not be achieved another way.
- 3) The request is not substantial.
- 4) The request would not have an adverse physical or environmental effect.
- 5) The alleged difficulty is not self-created in this case.

Seconded by Mr. Trzepacz. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Appeals Case #1358 for Thomas Pirson representing Moog Inc. of 7021 Seneca Street, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance to install more than one advertising sign §144-102.1 B1 e, Industrial.

Mr. Pirson was present and explained how the Seneca St entrance at Moog will be moved to the other side, the post will be reused. Mr. Schafer asked if that is the only change and was told yes.

Mr. Trzepacz asked if the sign is going to be moved back and the two new signs will be half way further back. One problem is when East Aurora fire dispatch has a call they do not recognize that address and they need to figure that out. The fire department responders were not given your building number. Mr. Trzepacz says an exact location should be given. The number on Seneca St. is not even referenced by East Aurora dispatch.

Jamison and Springbrook have books with the layout of Moog and those will be updated. Mr. Kalinowski said the changes are for emergency response.

No one spoke for or against the variance.

Mr. Trzepacz made the motion for Appeals Case #1358 for Thomas Pirson representing Moog Inc. of 7021 Seneca Street, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance to install more than one advertising sign §144-102.1 B1 e, Industrial. that the variance be approved based on the following criteria:

- 1) An undesirable change would not be known.
- 2) The benefit could not be achieved another way.
- 3) The request is not substantial.
- 4) The request would not have an adverse physical or environmental effect.
- 5) The alleged difficulty is not self-created in this case.

Seconded by Mr. Kwiek. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Appeals Case #1359 for Milton Koutsandreas of 2221 Transit Road, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance to expand the front lobby lacking front yard setback §144-86.12 (D), C-3.

Mr. Koutsandreas was present and explained how he would like to expand by 4 feet to give more room for people waiting and a patio on the south side of the restaurant.

Mr. Schafer asked if the old vestibule will be removed and the response was yes. The new patio will hold 35 people. Also, how much space in the parking lot will be used and the response was at about 24 feet. The handicap spots will be in the front facing Transit Road.

It was suggested that the front parking area sometimes has cars for people picking up food and maybe that could be in another area. Mr. Carey asked what will be eliminated and will cars be allowed, and the response was no.

Mr. Kalinowski said it could be stripped so cars do not park, and he also asked how much of a variance is needed. Under 4 feet was replied by Deputy Attorney Puleo. Mr. Kwiek asked about picking up for food and Mr. Koustandreas said that they could take two spots from the side.

No one spoke out against the variance.

Mr. Trzepacz made the motion for Appeals Case Appeals Case #1359 for Milton Koutsandreas of 2221 Transit Road, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance to expand the lobby lacking front yard setback §144-86.12 (D), C-3 that the variance be approved based on the following criteria:

- 1) An undesirable change would not be known.
- 2) The benefit could not be achieved another way.
- 3) The request is not substantial.
- 4) The request would not have an adverse physical or environmental effect.
- 5) The alleged difficulty is not self-created in this case.

Seconded by Mr. Kwiek. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Mr. Trzepacz made the motion to approve the minutes from the April meeting. Seconded by Mr. Carey. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Mr. Trzepacz made the motion to approve the minutes from the May meeting. Seconded by Mr. Kalinowski. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Mr. Kwiek made the motion at 8:00 to end all business as no one was present. Seconded by Mr. Trzepacz. Yes: 5. Nays: 0.

Respectfully submitted,

Kerry A. Galuski
Secretary-Clerk