



Mr. Huber explained the sign for the Bank of Holland and that they are coming up with a new logo for this location and Mr. Schafer asked if the sign would be like the sign at Cy's. The sign will be backlight like the Cy's sign. Mr. Trzepacz asked about the new logo and Mr. Huber is not sure when that will be done.

Mr. Komorek asked if the variance is going in the Bank of Holland's name or in Todd Huber's name. Mr. Komorek said the variance should go to the Bank of Holland, Deputy Attorney Dean Puleo advised that the variance should be in the Bank of Holland's name and address.

Mr. Schafer asked if the owner of the plaza is fine with the changes and was informed that the situation would be between the bank and the landlord.

No one spoke for or against the variance.

Mr. Komorek made the motion in Appeals Case #1275 for Building Solutions of 3091 Seneca Street, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance for the Bank of Holland to install a sign on the roof § 144-102.1 B (1) (e) and § 144-102.1 I (6), commercial C-2, that the variance be granted based on the following items and that the variance is good for one year from the date of this meeting:

1.) that there is not the potential of an undesirable change to the character of the neighborhood; 2.) that the benefit can not be achieved another way; 3.) that the area variance is not substantial; 4.) that there is not an adverse effect on the neighborhood; and 5.) that the situation is not self created.

Second by Mr. Trzepacz. Ayes: 4. Nays: 0.

Appeals Case #1276 for Charles Schuh Jr. of 215 Hall Road, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance for construction of a pole barn that is lacking the front yard setback § 144-99 C (6), residential C.

Mr. Schuh was present to explain what the purpose of the pole barn is. The barn will store his wife's vehicle and other items.

Mr. Schafer asked if the pole barn would look like the house and was informed that it would be sided the same color.

Mr. Trzepacz asked if he considered the rear property that there is 30 feet in the back and Mr. Schuh would like to keep a maple tree that is on the back property. Mr. Kalinowski showed an ariel view and asked Mr. Schuh if he could explain what he was doing on part of the property and was informed that there is a sewer on the part of the property that Mr. Kalinowski was questioning.

No one spoke for or against the variance.

Mr. Kalinowski made the motion in Appeals Case #1276 for Charles Schuh Jr. of 215 Hall Road, Elma, NY who is requesting a variance for construction of a pole barn that is lacking the front yard setback § 144-99 C (6), residential C, that the variance be granted based on the following items and that the variance is good for one year from the date of this meeting:

1.) that there is not the potential of an undesirable change to the character of the neighborhood; 2.) that the benefit can not be achieved another way; 3.) that the area variance is not substantial; 4.) that there is not an adverse effect on the neighborhood; and 5.) that the situation is not self created.

Second by Mr. Komorek. Ayes: 4. Nays: 0.

The assistant building inspector Ray asked the board if they have any input on how to make the zoning application better for applicants to fill out.

The minutes of the last meeting on February 9, 2016 were approved. Motion made by Mr. Trzepacz and seconded by Mr. Komorek. Ayes: 4.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:46 PM. Motion made by Mr. Trzepacz and seconded by Mr. Komorek.

Respectfully submitted,



Kerry A. Galuski  
Secretary-Clerk